

16. OCT. 2003 17:44

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NO. 8540 P. 3/3

OCT 16 2003

USSN. 10/089,607
Examiner: AZZOLA, L
Group A.U.: 2832
October 16, 2003

Remarks

OFFICIAL

It is observed that the Examiner rejected claims 9-16 because of formal reasons.

In particular, claim 1 was rejected as being not clear as to the meaning of the phraseology "said conducting elements and contrasts...".

Such wording has thus been amended to "said conducting elements and which contrasts, by friction with said conducting elements, electrodynamic repulsion forces...", wherein "contrasts" has here the meaning of "opposes to".

Claim 12 was instead rejected since the term "realized monolithically" is not clear.

Applicant has thus amended claim 12 to clarify that the insulating element and the operating shaft are made in a single piece.

It is believed that the proposed amendments render the application in an allowable condition and allowance thereof is respectfully requested.

It will be noted that a sincere effort has been made to positively respond to all of the points raised by the Examiner.

While it is believed that the amended claims properly define the present invention, applicant would be open to any suggestion the Examiner may have concerning different claim phraseology which, in the Examiner's opinion, more accurately defines the present invention.

Respectfully submitted,


Guido MODIANO
(Reg. No. 19,928)
Agent for the Applicant
Via Meravigli 16
20123 MILAN-ITALY
Tel. +39.02.8590-7777

Milan: October 16, 2003